

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/550,026	MOREIN ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Zachariah Lucas	1648	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Gregory York.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 July 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Obviousness rejection*

Claims discussed:

16, 17, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachariah Lucas/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that he found some of the arguments presented in the after-final submission of June 24, 2010 persuasive. He indicated that the case would be in condition for allowance upon cancellation of withdrawn claims 16, 17, and 19. Applicant agreed to the amendments .